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## Morphometry of Bransfield Strait, West Antarctica


#### Abstract

On the basis of about 12500 depth measurements of which 6700 were taken from $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{v}$ Profesor Siedlecki, 1300 from $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{v}$ Polarstern and the remainder from British navigation charts, a bathymetric chart of the Bransfield Strait in the scale 1:500 000 has been prepared. Within the assumed boundaries the total area of the Bransfield Strait covers 65308.6 square kilometres, of which the Western Basin covers $23.5 \%$, Central Basin - 47.3\%, and Eastern Basin $29.2 \%$. Capacity of the whole Bransfield Strait amounts to $38451 \mathrm{~km}^{3}$. The average depth of the Bransfield Strait is 592 m .
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## Introduction

Bransfield Strait is situated in West Antarctica between the South Shetland Islands in the North, and Antarctic Peninsula in the South. The first bathymetric measurements in the South Shetland region to the west of Livingston Island, were made by Arctowski (1900) during Belgica's Expedition in years 1898/99. Modern navigation charts of this region, mostly British (No. 3205, 3570, 1776) and American (No. 6941, 6942, 6944, 29101, 29122) are not good for morphometric measurements for which bathymetric charts are essential. The bathymetric chart of the Bransfield Strait prepared by Szeliga (1991) at the scale 1:500 000, allowed to measure this region for the first time. The Bransfield Strait in one the most intensively researched areas in West Antarctica and knowledge of its morphometry is useful for specialists from various disciplines.

## Methods

A bathymetric chart was prepared for the region between parallels $60^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$, and $65^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$, and between meridians $53^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ and $66^{\circ} 00^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. The outlines of the Antarctic Peninsula and the South Shetlands were transferred from Britihs navigation charts No. 3205 and 3570.6700 depth measurement points were taken from r/v Profesor Siedlecki during three expeditions: FIBEX-1981, SIBEX-1983/84 and BIO-MASS-3 in 1986/87 using Simrad-EK-38 and SU-2 echo sounders. Results were recorded at 1 nM intervals. Geographical coordinates of the vessel were defined with the use of the Redifon Satellite receiver connected to a printing set recording results every 10 minutes. This material was supplemented with 1300 measurements obtained from r/v Polarstern's expeditions which were kindly mode accessible by the Alfred-Wegener-Institute in Bremerhaven. 4500 depth measurement points were transferred from the British navigation charts No. 3205 and 3570 at a scale $1: 500000$ and also from No. 1776 at a scale of $1: 200000$. Althogether, about 12500 depth points formed the basis of the izobaths. These were drawn for the depths $100,200,300,400,500,750,1000,1250,1500$ and thereafter for every 250 meters, down to 4500 m . The chart was drawn in Mercator Projection with the latitude of $67^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ as the standard parallel. It is a conformal projection. Areas and distances are distorted. The size of linear distortions $\left(\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}=\frac{\cos _{v_{0}}}{\text { cis }_{v}}\right)$ and the area distortions $\left(\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{n}=\frac{\cos ^{2} \mathrm{v}_{0}}{\cos ^{2} \mathrm{v}}\right)$ at intervals $30^{\prime}$, are shown in Table 1 .
vo - latitude of the standard parallel, in our case $67^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$,
v - latitude of a given parallel.

## The boundary of Bransfield Strait and of the lower rank units

Bransfield Strait's boundaries were not accurately fixed. In this paper it was accepted that the southern and northern boundary was marked by the coastline of the islands and peninsula. The eastern and western boundaries were marked artificially (Fig. 1). To mark out boundaries only large forms shown in the chart were taken into account (Szeliga 1991). The Bransfield Strait includes three basin separated from each other by the features of the sea bed. Within these basins 19 smaller units were defined and their boundaries were fixed with homogenous criteria. The basic assumption was to fix the boundaries of these units so as to obtain not only convex-shallow, but also concave-deep forms at the same time. The areas of these units after summing gave the area of the basins. The boundaries between them were marked out along the 500 m isobath. They isolate shallow areas which are either island or continental shelves, or midsea shallows. The areas beneath 500 m constitute basins or valleys.


Fig. 1. The boundary of Bransfield Strait and of the lower rank units. $1 \cdots$ Bransfield Strait bouindary, $2 \ldots$ boundaries of basins, $3-\operatorname{boundaries~of~units.~}$

Although the actual boundary of the shelf in this region runs at different, usually shallower depths (Zarichin 1982) but in our calculations the areas to 500 m were treated as shelves.

## Measurements in chart

In the chart (Szeliga 1991) fhe following elements of Bransfield Strait were measured: lenghts and widths, lengths of the boundaries, areas. To obtain areas which lack distortions the measurements were divided by the calculated distortion values (Table 1).

Tablel
Linear and area distortion of the Chart (in Fig. 1)

| $\alpha$ | $60^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | $61^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ | $61^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ | $62^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ | $62^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}$ | 0.7976 | 0.8059 | 0.8188 | 0.8322 | 0.8462 |
| $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{n}$ | 0.6359 | 0.6459 | 0.6705 | 0.6927 | 0.7160 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\alpha$ | $63^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ | $63^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ | $64^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ | $64^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ | $65^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ |
| $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}$ | 0.8606 | 0.8757 | 0.8913 | 0.9076 | 0.9245 |
| $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{n}$ | 0.7407 | 0.7668 | 0.7945 | 0.8237 | 0.8548 |

The length of the Bransfield Strait was measured along the central axis and along the diagonal SW-NE, this being its maximum length. The width was measured in the eastern, middle and western parts along lines perpendicular to the central axis. The Bransfield Strait boundary's length being in the most curved line, was measured by low compasses. The measurements were carried our three times and the arithmetical mean gave the final result. The areas were measured with a Robotron-Reiss planimeter. For each unit the area divided into parts in chosen isobaths (bathymetric degrees) was measured, namely: $0-200,200-500,500-1000,1000-1500,1500-2000,2000-2500$ and $2500-2640 \mathrm{~m}$. Each measurement was again carried out three times and the arithmetical mean was take as the final result. The capacity was calculated by 3 methods. The 1st one consists of calculating the capacity of layers included between individual bathymetric levels. In the 2nd method, water capacity was calculated between individual bathymetric levels with the use of a truncated cone formula, and beneath the lowest level with the use of the cone formula. In the 3rd method the capacity was obtained by multiplying the basins' area and the average depth. Differences between the results acquired by these three methods are not substantial, being $1.2 \%$ for the Southern Basin., $2.4 \%$ for the

Central Basin and 3\% for the Western Basin. The final result was the average value of water capacity obtained from these 3 methods.

## Results and discussion

The Bransfield Strait boundary (Fig. 1), basins and differentiated surfaces were marked out arbitrarily according to the rules accepted in methodology. The length of the Bransfield Strait measured along the central axis amounts to the 458 km , and the length measured along diagonal SW-NE between Brabant Island and Clarence Island amounts to 520 km . The width varies from 103 km in the western part between Brabant Island and Smith Island, to 232 km in the eastern part between Joinville Island and Elephant Island. In the central part the width is about 120 km . The global length of the Bransfield Strait boundary amounts to 1770 km . The length of the bundary running along the coast line and islands is 1115 km and the sea boundary is 655 km (Table 2). The

Table 2
Boundary lengths of Bransfield Strait

| Boundary | Length in km |  | Total |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | sea | land | km | $\%$ |
| Eastern | 214 | - | 214 | 12 |
| Southern | 90 | 549 | 639 | 36 |
| Western | 103 | 35 | 138 | 8 |
| Northern | 248 | 531 | 779 | 44 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |
| km | 655 | 1115 | 1770 | 100 |
| $\%$ | 37 | 63 |  | 100 |

development of the Bransfield Strait boundary, accepted as the relation of its real length to the circumference of the same area is very small and amounts to 1.95.

The total area of the Bransfield Strait is $65308.6 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ of which the Western Basin covers the areas of $15361.3 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, Central Basin $30913.4 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and the Eastern Basin $19033.3 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (Table 3). The areas of the isolated units in the indivudal basins are presented in tables $4-7$.

The average depth of the Bransfield Strait is 592 m , of the Western Basin 396 m, Central Basin 630 m , and the Eastern Basin 684 m.

Water capacity in the Bransfield Strait is $38451 \mathrm{~km}^{3}$ of which more than a half is in the Central Basin. Water capacity in indivudual basins and also in the whole Bransfield Strait were calculated and shown in the following way:
a) capacities of water masses between isolated bathymetric levels whose sum equals water capacity of the whole vasins and Bransfield Strait (Table 8),

Bransfield Strait - basin areas according to bathymetric degrees ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ )

| Bathymetric <br> degrees (m) | Western Basin |  | Cental Basin |  | Eastern Basin |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ | $\%$ | $\mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | $\%$ | $\mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | $\%$ | $\mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | $\%$ |
| $0-200$ | 5280.6 | 34.4 | 8982.7 | 29.1 | 4325.8 | 22.7 | 18589.1 | 28.5 |
| $200-500$ | 5887.5 | 38.3 | 7062.5 | 22.8 | 5384.7 | 28.3 | 18334.7 | 28.1 |
| $500-1000$ | 3220.0 | 21.0 | 7783.1 | 25.2 | 5072.3 | 26.6 | 16075.4 | 24.6 |
| $1000-1500$ | 973.2 | 6.3 | 3979.1 | 12.9 | 2006.4 | 10.6 | 6958.7 | 10.6 |
| $1500-2000$ | - | - | 3073.8 | 9.9 | 1369.0 | 7.2 | 4442.8 | 6.8 |
| $2000-2500$ | - | - | 32.2 | 0.1 | 824.6 | 4.3 | 586.8 | 1.3 |
| $2500-2640$ | - | - | - | - | 51.1 | 0.3 | 51.1 | 0.1 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ | 15361.3 |  | 30913.4 |  | 19033.9 |  | 65308.6 |  |
| $\%$ | 23.5 | 100.0 | 47.3 | 100.0 | 29.2 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |

Table 4
Western Basin: area of units according to bathymetric degrees ( $\mathbf{k m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ )

| Num ber | Unit | Bathymetric degress (m) |  |  |  | Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-200 | 200-500 | 500-1 | 00-1426 | $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ | \% |
|  | Shelves of islands: <br> Snow - Livingston Deception | 1556.6 | 1200.3 | 4.1 | - | 2761.0 | 18.0 |
| 1.2 | Proper basin with Croker Valley (1.2a) | 19.6 | 217.8 | 3207.1 | 973.2 | 4417.7 | 28.8 |
| 1.3 | Austin Shallow | 63.7 | 1090.0 | -- | - | 1153.7 | 7.5 |
| 1.4 | Shelves of islands: <br> Trinity - Two Hummock - Christiania | 1726.0 | 1185.4 | 8.8 | - | 2920.2 | 19.0 |
| 1.5 | Shelves of islands: <br> Brabant - Liege - <br> Hoseason - Low - Smith | 1914.7 | 2194.0 | 8 - - | -- | 4108.7 | 26.7 |
|  | Total: $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ | 5280.6 | 5887.5 | 3220.0 | 973.2 | 15361.3 |  |
|  | \% | 34.4 | 38.3 | 21.0 | 6.3 |  | 100.0 |

b) capacities of water columns over the sea bottom in isolated bathymetric degrees, whose sum also equals water capacity of the whole basins and Bransfield Strait (Table 9).

This cartographic work presents for the firs time in literature, a picture of the Bransfield Strait from numeric approach.
Central Basin: area of units according to bathymetric degrees (km²)

| Num ber | - Unit | Bathymetric degrees (m) |  |  |  |  |  | Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-200 | 200-500 | 500-1000 | 1000-1500 | 500-2000 | 00-2048 | km ${ }^{2}$ | \% |
|  | Shelves of islands: <br> Nelson, Robert, Greenwich | 624.5 | 491.2 | - | - | - | - | 1115.7 | 3.6 |
|  | Shelf of King George Is. | 972.1 | 1441.1 | 10.2 | - | - | - | 2423.3 | 7.8 |
|  | Proper basin with Orlean Valley (2.3a) and Austin Valley (2.3b) | - | 89.7 | 7772.2 | 3979.1 | 3073.8 | 33.2 | 14948.7 | 48.4 |
|  | Bridgeman Height | 58.4 | 101.7 | - | - | - | - | 160.1 | 0.5 |
|  | d'Urville Bank (a) and Zeele Bank (b) | 1914.1 | 1954.7 | - | - | - | - | 3868.8 | 12.5 |
|  | Siedlecki Bank (a) and Montravel (b) | 1422.2 | 778.2 | - | - | - | - | 2200.4 | 7.1 |
| 2.7 | Astrolabe Bank (a) and Hombron Bank (b) | 2000.5 | 983.6 | - | - | - | - | 2984.1 | 9.7 |
| 2.8 | Charcot Bank (a) and Lanchester Bank (b) | 796.0 | 563.8 | - | - | - | - | 1359.8 | 4.4 |
| 2.9 | Tower Bank | 1194.9 | 658.6 | - | - | - | - | 1953.5 | 6.0 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ | 8982.7 | 7062.5 | 7783.1 | 3979.1 | 3073.8 | 33.2 | 30914.4 |  |
|  | \% | 29.1 | 22.8 | 25.2 | 12.9 | 9.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 |

Eastern Basin: area of units according to bathymetric degrees ( $\mathbf{k m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ )

| Num ber | Unit |  | Bathymetric degrees (m) |  |  |  |  | Area |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0-200 | 200-500 | 500-1000 | 1000-1500 | 1500-2000 | 2000-2500 | 2500-2640 | km ${ }^{2}$ | \% |
|  | Gibbs Height |  | 421.3 | 493.1 | - | - | - | - | - | 914.4 | 4.8 |
|  | Shelf of Elephant I. |  | 603.1 | 707.7 | 5.3 | - | - | - | - | 1316.1 | 6.9 |
| 3.3 | Shelf of Clarence I. |  | 41.3 | 108.7 | - | - | - | - | - | 150.0 | 0.8 |
|  | Proper basin |  | - | 320.0 | 4925.7 | 2006.4 | 1369.0 | 824.6 | 51.1 | 9496.8 | 49.9 |
| 3.5 | Joinville Bank |  | 3260.1 | 3755.2 | 141.3 | - | - | - | - | 7156.6 | 37.6 |
| Total: |  | $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ | 4325.8 | 5384.7 | 5072.3 | 2006.4 | 1369.0 | 824.6 | 51.1 | 19033.9 |  |
|  |  | \% | 22.7 | 28.3 | 26.7 | 10.5 | 7.2 | 4.3 | 0.3 |  | 100.0 |

$$
\text { Table } 7
$$

Areas of bathymetric levels ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ )

| Bathymetric <br> levels $(\mathrm{m})$ | Western <br> Basin | Central <br> Basin | Eastern <br> Basin | Bransfield <br> Strait |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 15361.3 | 30913.4 | 19033.9 | 65308.6 |
| 200 | 10081.0 | 21935.0 | 14708.0 | 46721.0 |
| 500 | 4193.0 | 14869.0 | 9323.0 | 28385.0 |
| 1000 | 973.0 | 7086.0 | 4251.0 | 12310.0 |
| 1500 | - | 3107.0 | 2248.0 | 5355.0 |
| 2000 | - | 33.0 | 876.0 | 909.0 |
| 2500 | - | - | 51.0 | 51.0 |

Table 8
Water capacity between isolated bathymetric levels for Bransfield Strait basins

| Bathymetric <br> layers $(\mathrm{m})$ | Western Basin |  | Central Basin |  | Eastern Basin |  | Total |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathrm{km}^{3}$ | $\%$ | $\mathrm{~km}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\%$ | $\mathrm{~km}^{3}$ | $\%$ | $\mathrm{~km}^{3}$ | $\%$ |
| $0-200$ | 2539 | 41.8 | 5277 | 27.1 | 3367 | 26.1 | 11183 | 29.1 |
| $200-500$ | 2116 | 34.9 | 5508 | 28.3 | 3590 | 27.8 | 11214 | 29.2 |
| $500-1000$ | 1254 | 20.7 | 5449 | 28.0 | 3360 | 26.0 | 10063 | 26.2 |
| $1000-1500$ | 158 | 2.6 | 2526 | 13.0 | 1607 | 12.5 | 4291 | 11.1 |
| $1500-2000$ | - | - | 716 | 3.6 | 761 | 5.9 | 1477 | 3.8 |
| $2000-2500$ | - | - | 1 | 0.0 | 220 | 1.7 | 221 | 0.6 |
| $2500-2640$ | - | - | - | - | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Tota: $\mathrm{km}^{3}$ | 6067 |  | 19477 |  | 12907 |  | 38451 |  |
| $\%$ | 15.8 | 100.0 | 50.06 | 100.0 | 33.6 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |

Table9
Water capacity over the sea bottom for Bransfield Strait basins

| Bathymetric <br> degress $(\mathrm{m})$ | Western Basin |  | Central Basin |  | Eastern Basin |  | Total |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\%$ | $\mathrm{~km}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\%$ | $\mathbf{k m}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\%$ | $\mathbf{k m}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\%$ |  |
| $0-200$ | 525 | 8.6 | 898 | 4.6 | 433 | 3.6 | 1856 | 4.8 |
| $200-500$ | 2037 | 33.6 | 2452 | 12.6 | 1880 | 14.5 | 6369 | 16.6 |
| $500-1000$ | 2400 | 39.6 | 5841 | 30.0 | 3804 | 29.4 | 12045 | 31.3 |
| $1000-1500$ | 1105 | 18.2 | 4879 | 25.0 | 2500 | 19.4 | 8484 | 22.1 |
| $1500-2000$ | - | - | 5340 | 27.4 | 2362 | 18.2 | 7702 | 20.0 |
| $2000-2500$ | - | - | 67 | 0.4 | 1800 | 13.9 | 1867 | 4.9 |
| $2500-2640$ | - | - | - | - | 128 | 1.0 | 128 | 3.3 |
| Tota: $\mathrm{km}^{3}$ | 6067 |  | 19477 |  | 12907 |  | 38451 |  |
|  | $\%$ | 15.8 | 100.0 | 50.06 | 100.0 | 33.6 | 100.0 |  |
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## Streszczenie

W pracy przedstawiono dane morfometryczne Cieśniny Bransfielda uzyskane w oparciu o mapę batymetryczną 1:500 000 (Szeliga 1991). Izobaty na mapie wykreślono na podstawie około 12500 punktów głębokościowych pomierzonych w rejsach r/v Profesor Siedlecki ( 6700 punktów), r/v Polarstern ( 1300 punktów) oraz zaczerpniętych z brytyjskich map nawigacyjnych ( 4500 punktów). W oparciu o mapę wyznaczono granice Cieśniny Bransfielda, dokonano podziału Cieśniny na trzy baseny i 19 mniejszych jednostek (fig. 1). Pomiary i obliczenia objęły następujące elementy Cieśniny: długość i szerokość, długość granic, powierzchnie wydzielonych jednostek, objętość masy wodnej oraz średnie głębokości basenów. Długość Cieśniny Bransfielda wzdłuż osi centralnej wynosi 458 km , szerokość od 103 km w zachodniej czę́si do 232 km w części wschodniej. Długość granicy Cieśniny Bransfielda wynosi 1770 km (tab. 2), zaś powierzchnia $65308.6 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (tab. 7). Powierzchnie basenów w rozbiciu na mniejsze jednostki i stopnie głębokościowe przedstawiono w tabelach 4-6. Srednia gł̨̨bokość Basenu Wschodniego 684 m a objętość całej Cieśniny Bransfielda wynosi $38451 \mathrm{~km}^{3}$, z czego ponad połowa przypada na Basen Centralny.

