

10.1515/acsc-2017-0007

*Archives of Control Sciences*  
Volume 27(LXIII), 2017  
No. 1, pages 119–128

# Eigenvalue assignment in fractional descriptor discrete-time linear systems

TADEUSZ KACZOREK and KAMIL BORAWSKI

The problem of eigenvalue assignment in fractional descriptor discrete-time linear systems is considered. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution to the problem are established. A procedure for computation of the gain matrices is given and illustrated by a numerical example.

**Key words:** eigenvalue assignment, fractional, descriptor, discrete-time linear system, gain matrix.

## 1. Introduction

A dynamical system is called a fractional-order system if its state equations are given by fractional-order derivative of state vector. Mathematical fundamentals of the fractional calculus are given in the [23, 25, 26]. The standard and positive fractional linear systems have been investigated in [18, 24] and the positive fractional linear electrical circuits in [20]. Some recent interesting results in the fractional systems theory and its applications can be found in [8, 27, 28, 30].

Descriptor (singular) linear systems were considered in many papers and books [1-7, 9-11, 17, 18, 22, 29, 31]. The positive standard and descriptor systems and their stability have been analyzed in [13-16, 28]. Descriptor positive discrete-time and continuous-time nonlinear systems have been analyzed in [10] and the positivity and linearization of nonlinear discrete-time systems by state-feedbacks in [14]. New stability tests of positive standard and fractional linear systems have been investigated in [12]. The controllability of dynamical systems has been investigated in [21].

In this paper the eigenvalue assignment problem for fractional descriptor discrete-time linear systems will be investigated and procedure for computation of the state-feedback gain matrices will be presented.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the problem of eigenvalue assignment in fractional descriptor discrete-time linear systems is formulated. In section 3 the

---

The authors is with Bialystok University of Technology, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Wiejska 45D, 15-351 Bialystok, Poland. E-mail: kaczonek@ee.pw.edu.pl

This work was supported by National Science Centre in Poland under work No. 2014/13/B/ST7/03467.

Received 18.08.2016.

problem is solved and procedure for computation of the state-feedback gain matrices is presented. Concluding remarks are given in section 4.

The following notation will be used:  $\mathfrak{R}$  — the set of real numbers,  $\mathfrak{R}^{n \times m}$  — the set of  $n \times m$  real matrices and  $\mathfrak{R}^n = \mathfrak{R}^{n \times 1}$ ,  $I_n$  — the  $n \times n$  identity matrix,  $Z_+$  — the set of nonnegative integers.

## 2. Problem formulation

Consider the descriptor discrete-time linear system

$$E\Delta^\alpha x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k, \quad k \in Z_+ = \{0, 1, \dots\} \quad (1)$$

where  $x_k \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ ,  $u_k \in \mathfrak{R}^m$  are the state and input vectors and  $E, A \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times m}$ . The fractional difference of the order  $\alpha$  is defined by

$$\Delta^\alpha x_k = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{\alpha}{i} x_{k-i}, \quad \binom{\alpha}{i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i=0, \\ \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\dots(\alpha-i+1)}{i!} & \text{for } i=1, 2, \dots \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Substituting (2) into (1) yields

$$Ex_{k+1} = A_\alpha x_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i Ex_{k-i+1} + Bu_k \quad (3)$$

where

$$A_\alpha = A + \alpha E, \quad c_i = (-1)^i \binom{\alpha}{i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4)$$

It is assumed that  $\text{rank } E = r < n$  and  $\text{rank } B = m$ . In practical problems it is also assumed that  $i$  is bounded by natural number  $h = k + 1 > n$ . We may write the equation (3) in the form

$$\bar{E}\bar{x}_{k+1} = \bar{A}\bar{x}_k + \bar{B}u_k, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_\alpha & c_1 E & c_2 E & \cdots & c_{h-1} E & c_h E \\ I_n & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{R}^{\bar{n} \times \bar{n}}, \quad \bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{R}^{\bar{n} \times m},$$

$$\bar{E} = \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & I_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_n & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{R}^{\bar{n} \times \bar{n}}, \quad \bar{x}_k = \begin{bmatrix} x_k \\ x_{k-1} \\ x_{k-2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{k-h} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{R}^{\bar{n}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad \bar{n} = n(h+1).$$
(6)

Let us consider the system (1) with the state-feedback

$$\bar{u}_k = K_1 \bar{x}_{k+1} + K_2 \bar{x}_k \quad (7)$$

where  $\bar{u}_k \in \mathfrak{R}^m$  is a new input vector and  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathfrak{R}^{m \times \bar{n}}$  are gain matrices. Substitution of (7) into (5) yields

$$(\bar{E} - \bar{B}K_1) \bar{x}_{k+1} = (\bar{A} + \bar{B}K_2) \bar{x}_k. \quad (8)$$

The problem can be stated as follows. Given  $E, A, B, \alpha \in (0, 1)$  find  $K_1, K_2$  such that the closed-loop system has desired eigenvalues  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, |z_k| < 1, k = 1, \dots, n$ .

### 3. Problem solution

The problem will be solved by the use of the following two steps procedure.

**Step 1.** (Subproblem 1) Find  $K_1$  such that  $\bar{E} - \bar{B}K_1 = I_{\bar{n}}$ .

**Step 2.** (Subproblem 2) Find  $K_2$  such that  $\bar{A} + \bar{B}K_2$  has desired eigenvalues.

The first subproblem has a solution if and only if [3]

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{E} & \bar{B} \end{bmatrix} = \bar{n}, \quad \text{rank} \bar{B} = m. \quad (9)$$

**Theorem 8** *If the conditions (9) are satisfied then the equation*

$$\bar{E} - \bar{B}K_1 = I_{\bar{n}} \quad (10)$$

has the solution

$$K_1 = \{[\bar{B}^T \bar{B}]^{-1} \bar{B}^T + K[I_{\bar{n}} - \bar{B}[\bar{B}^T \bar{B}]^{-1} \bar{B}^T]\}(\bar{E} - I_{\bar{n}}), \quad (11)$$

where  $K$  is an arbitrary matrix.

**Proof** From (10) we have

$$\bar{B}K_1 = \bar{E} - I_{\bar{n}}. \quad (12)$$

If conditions (9) are met then there exists the left pseudoinverse of the matrix  $\bar{B}$  given by the formula [19]

$$\bar{B}_L = [\bar{B}^T \bar{B}]^{-1} \bar{B}^T + K[I_{\bar{n}} - \bar{B}[\bar{B}^T \bar{B}]^{-1} \bar{B}^T] \quad (13)$$

and

$$K_1 = \bar{B}_L(\bar{E} - I_{\bar{n}}) = \{[\bar{B}^T \bar{B}]^{-1} \bar{B}^T + K[I_{\bar{n}} - \bar{B}[\bar{B}^T \bar{B}]^{-1} \bar{B}^T]\}(\bar{E} - I_{\bar{n}}), \quad (14)$$

which is equivalent to (11).  $\square$

**Remark 1** In particular case when  $K = 0$  we have

$$K_1 = [\bar{B}^T \bar{B}]^{-1} \bar{B}^T (\bar{E} - I_{\bar{n}}) = \begin{bmatrix} [B^T B]^{-1} B^T (E - I_n) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

and then

$$K_1 \bar{x}_{k+1} = [B^T B]^{-1} B^T (E - I_n) x_{k+1}. \quad (16)$$

The second subproblem will be solved substituting (10) into (8). Thus we have

$$\bar{x}_{k+1} = (\bar{A} + \bar{B}K_2) \bar{x}_k. \quad (17)$$

**Theorem 9** *There exists a matrix  $K_2$  such that the matrix  $\bar{A} + \bar{B}K_2$  has the desired eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, \bar{n}$  if and only if the pair  $(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$  is controllable.*

**Proof** The proof is given in [11].

To solve the problem one of the well-known methods [11] can be applied. To simplify the notation we consider the single-input system (17) with a controllable pair  $(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ . Following [11] there exists a matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_1 \bar{A} \\ \vdots \\ p_1 \bar{A}^{\bar{n}-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (18)$$

that transforms every controllable pair  $(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$  to the canonical form

$$\tilde{A} = P\bar{A}P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -\tilde{a}_0 & -\tilde{a}_1 & -\tilde{a}_2 & \cdots & -\tilde{a}_{\bar{n}-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{B} = P\bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

The vector  $p_1$  in (18) is the  $\bar{n}$ -th row of the matrix

$$[\bar{B} \quad \bar{A}\bar{B} \quad \cdots \quad \bar{A}^{\bar{n}-1}\bar{B}]^{-1}. \quad (20)$$

The characteristic polynomial of the matrix  $\tilde{A}$  has the form

$$\det[I_{\bar{n}}z - \tilde{A}] = z^{\bar{n}} + \tilde{a}_{\bar{n}-1}z^{\bar{n}-1} + \cdots + \tilde{a}_1z + \tilde{a}_0 \quad (21)$$

and the characteristic polynomial of the closed-loop system matrix  $\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}K_2$  has the form

$$\det[I_{\bar{n}}z - \tilde{A} - \tilde{B}K_2] = z^{\bar{n}} + \tilde{d}_{\bar{n}-1}z^{\bar{n}-1} + \cdots + \tilde{d}_1z + \tilde{d}_0. \quad (22)$$

The matrix satisfying (22) is given by

$$K_2 = [\tilde{d}_0 - \tilde{a}_0 \quad \tilde{d}_1 - \tilde{a}_1 \quad \cdots \quad \tilde{d}_{\bar{n}-1} - \tilde{a}_{\bar{n}-1}]. \quad (23)$$

The considerations can be easily extended to multi-input systems [11].

From the above we have the following procedure.

### Procedure 1.

- Step 1.** Knowing  $A, B, E, \alpha$  choose  $h > n$  and compute the matrices  $\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \bar{E}$  defined by (6).
- Step 2.** Check the conditions (9), then using  $\bar{E}$  and  $\bar{B}$  compute  $K_1$  defined by (11). In particular case when  $K = 0$  we can use matrices  $E$  and  $B$  (see (15)).
- Step 3.** Applying one of the well-known methods [11] and using  $\bar{A}, \bar{B}$  compute  $K_2$  such that the matrix  $\bar{A} + \bar{B}K_2$  has the desired eigenvalues  $\lambda_k, k = 1, \dots, \bar{n}$ ,  $\text{Re} \lambda_k < 0$ . The method for single-input systems presented above can be used.

**Example 1** Consider the fractional descriptor discrete-time linear system (1) with the matrices

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (24)$$

and  $\alpha = 0.5$ . Find  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  such that the closed-loop system has the eigenvalues  $\lambda_k = 0, k = 1, \dots, 9$ . Using the Procedure 1 we obtain the following.

**Step 1.** Step 1. We choose  $h = 2$ . From (6) we have

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 1 & 0 & 0.125 & 0 & 0 & 0.0625 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 1 & 0 & 0.125 & 0 & 0 & 0.0625 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (25)$$

$$\bar{E} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Step 2.** The conditions (9) are satisfied. Using (25) with (11) for

$K = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$  we obtain the first gain matrix

$$K_1 = [0 \ 0 \ -1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]. \quad (26)$$

It is easy to check that  $\bar{E} - \bar{B}K_1 = I_9$ .

**Step 3.** Step 3. Using the presented algorithm for single-input systems we compute the matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\bar{B} \quad \bar{A}\bar{B} \quad \dots \quad \bar{A}^{\bar{n}-1}\bar{B}]^{-1} = \quad (27) \\
 = & \begin{bmatrix}
 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -0.5 \\
 -13.5 & 0.5 & 0 & 5.5 & 10.5 & -0.5 & 2.4 & 3.1 & 5.4 \\
 54 & 52 & 0 & -48 & -96 & -52 & 3.3 & 22.7 & 9.2 \\
 82 & 370 & 0 & -2 & -248 & -370 & -49.3 & -104.8 & -29.2 \\
 -688 & -1656 & 0 & 376 & 1776 & 1656 & 114 & 84 & -174 \\
 384 & 1056 & 0 & -160 & -984 & -1056 & -104 & -156 & 24 \\
 -1024 & -2368 & 0 & 576 & 2560 & 2368 & 160 & 112 & 224 \\
 640 & 1408 & 0 & -384 & -1600 & -1408 & -80 & -16 & 176
 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

The vector has the form

$$p_1 = [ 640 \quad 1408 \quad 0 \quad -384 \quad -1600 \quad -1408 \quad -80 \quad -16 \quad 176 ]. \quad (28)$$

Using (18) we compute the matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix}
 640 & 1408 & 0 & -384 & -1600 & -1408 & -80 & -16 & 176 \\
 -64 & -256 & 0 & 0 & 160 & 256 & 40 & -88 & 40 \\
 -32 & -32 & 0 & 32 & 56 & 32 & -4 & -16 & -4 \\
 16 & 8 & 0 & -8 & -20 & -8 & -2 & -2 & -2 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 1 \\
 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.1 & 0 & 0 & -0.1 & 0 \\
 0.5 & 0.9 & 0 & 0.1 & -0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.1 \\
 0.4 & 0.9 & 1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.1 & 0
 \end{bmatrix} \quad (29)$$

which transforms the pair  $(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$  to the canonical form (see (19))

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix}
 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & -0.002 & -0.0117 & -0.0234 & -0.0781 & 1.125 & -0.5 & 1.5 & 0
 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{B} = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]^T. \quad (30)$$

Using (23) we have the second gain matrix

$$K_2 = [0 \ 0 \ -0.002 \ -0.0117 \ -0.0234 \ -0.0781 \ 1.125 \ -0.5 \ 1.5]. \quad (31)$$

The closed-loop system matrix is given by

$$\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}K_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (32)$$

and has desired eigenvalues  $\lambda_k = 0, k = 1, \dots, 9$ .

#### 4. Concluding remarks

The problem of eigenvalue assignment in fractional descriptor discrete-time linear systems has been considered. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution to the problem have been established. A procedure for computation of the gain matrices has been given and illustrated by a numerical example.

The considerations can be extended to fractional descriptor continuous-time linear systems.

#### References

- [1] R. BRU, C. COLL and E. SANCHEZ: About positively discrete-time singular systems. In Mastorakis M.E. (Ed.), *System and Control: Theory and Applications*, World Scientific and Engineering Society, Athens, 2000, 44-48.
- [2] R. BRU, C. COLL, S. ROMERO-VIVO and E. SANCHEZ: Some problems about structural properties of positive descriptor systems. In Benvenuti A., de Santis A. and Farina L. (Eds.), *Positive Systems, Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences*, **294** (2003), Springer, Berlin, 233-240.

- [3] A. BUNSE-GERSTNER, N. NICHOLS and V. MEHRMANN: Regularization of descriptor systems by derivative and proportional state feedback. *SIAM J. on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, **13**(1), (1992), 46-67.
- [4] S.L. CAMPBELL, C.D. MEYER AND N.J. ROSE: Applications of the Drazin inverse to linear systems of differential equations with singular constant coefficients. *SIAM J. on Applied Mathematics*, **31**(3), (1976), 411-425.
- [5] L. DAI: Singular Control Systems. Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [6] M. DODIG and M. STOSIC: Singular systems state feedbacks problems. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **431**(8), (2009), 1267-1292.
- [7] G.R. DUAN: Analysis and Design of Descriptor Linear Systems. Springer, New York, 2010.
- [8] A. DZIELIŃSKI, D. SIEROCIUK and G. SARWAS: Ultracapacitor parameters identification based on fractional order model. *Proc. 10th European Control Conference ECC'09*, Budapest, (2009).
- [9] M.M. FAHMY and J. O'REILL: Matrix pencil of closed-loop descriptor systems: Infinite-eigenvalues assignment. *Int. J. of Control*, **49**(4), (1989), 1421-1431.
- [10] T. KACZOREK: Descriptor positive discrete-time and continuous-time nonlinear systems. *Proc. of SPIE*, **9290**(2014), 1-11.
- [11] T. KACZOREK: Linear Control Systems vol. 1, Research Studies Press, J. Wiley, New York, 1992.
- [12] T. KACZOREK: New stability tests of positive standard and fractional linear systems. *Circuits and Systems*, **2**(4), (2011), 261-268.
- [13] T. KACZOREK: Positive 1D and 2D Systems, Springer-Verlag, London, 2001.
- [14] T. KACZOREK: Positivity and linearization of a class of nonlinear discrete-time systems by state feedbacks. *Logistyka*, **6** (2014), 5078-5083.
- [15] T. KACZOREK: Positive descriptor discrete-time linear systems. *Problems of Non-linear Analysis in Engineering Systems*, **1**(7), (1998), 38-54.
- [16] T. KACZOREK: Positivity and stability of discrete-time nonlinear systems. *Proc. of 2nd IEEE Intern. Conf. on Cybernetics CYBCONF*, (2015), Gdynia, Poland.
- [17] T. KACZOREK: Positive singular discrete time linear systems. *Bull. Pol. Acad. Techn. Sci.*, **45**(4), (1997), 619-631.

- [18] T. KACZOREK: Selected Problems of Fractional Systems Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2012.
- [19] T. KACZOREK: Vectors and Matrices in Automation and Electrotechnics, WNT, Warszawa, 1998 (in Polish).
- [20] T. KACZOREK and K. ROGOWSKI: Fractional Linear Systems and Electrical Circuits. Studies in Systems, Decision and Control, **13** Springer, 2015.
- [21] J. KLAMKA: Controllability of dynamical systems. A survey. *Bull. Pol. Acad. Techn. Sci.*, **61**(2), (2013), 221-229.
- [22] KUCERA and P. ZAGALAK: Fundamental theorem of state feedback for singular systems. *Automatica*, **24**(5), (1988), 653-658.
- [23] K.B. OLDHAM and J. SPANIER: The Fractional Calculus. Academic Press, New York 1974.
- [24] P. OSTALCZYK: Discrete Fractional Calculus. World Scientific Publ. Co., New Jersey 2016.
- [25] P. OSTALCZYK: Epitome of the fractional calculus: Theory and its Applications in Automatics. Wydawnictwo Politechniki Łódzkiej, Łódź, 2008 (in Polish).
- [26] I. PODLUBNY: Fractional Differential Equations. Academic Press, San Diego 1999.
- [27] A.G. RADWAN, A.M. SOLIMAN, A.S. ELWAKIL and A. SEDEEK: On the stability of linear systems with fractional-order elements. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, **40**(5), (2009), 2317-2328.
- [28] E.J. SOLTEIRO PIRES, J.A. TENREIRO MACHADO and P.B. MOURA OLIVEIRA: Fractional dynamics in genetic algorithms. *Workshop on Fractional Differentiation and its Application*, **2** (2006), 414-419.
- [29] P. VAN DOOREN: The computation of Kronecker's canonical form of a singular pencil. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **27** (1979), 103-140.
- [30] B.M. VINAGRE, C.A. MONJE and A.J. CALDERON: Fractional order systems and fractional order control actions. Lecture 3 IEEE CDC'02 TW#2: Fractional calculus Applications in Automatic Control and Robotics, (2002).
- [31] E. VIRNIK: Stability analysis of positive descriptor systems. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **429**(10), (2008), 2640-2659.